SOUTHERN	N DISTRICT	TRICT COURT TOF NEW YORK	- Y	
EILEEN A.	CLINTON, x of the esta	on behalf of herself and as te of WILLIAM A.	:	05 Civ. 9907 (CS) (LMS) ECF Case
		Plaintiff,	:	
-agair	nst-		:	
successor by	merger to A	ON HOLDINGS, INC., as AMERICAN TOBACCO P MORRIS USA INC.,	:	
		Defendants.	:	
	answer. Has Plain	our answers with a check m tiff proven, by a preponderats' cigarettes caused Willia	ance of the	
	answer to	\overline{SW} swer to \overline{QW} uestion 1 is YES,	roceed no	oceed to Question 2. If your further except to sign and date
<u>Failu</u>	re to Warn (<u>Claim</u>		
2.	2. Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that prior to September 11, 1968, American Tobacco had a duty to warn William A. Champagne, Jr. about the health hazards of smoking its cigarettes?			
	Yes	No		

^{*} This preliminary proposed verdict form is filed subject to the various objections and reservations discussed in the cover pleading accompanying this filing. By filing this verdict form, Defendants do not waive or abandon any argument previously asserted in this matter. Defendants also expressly reserve the right to modify or supplement this preliminary proposed verdict form prior to the submission of the case to the jury.

If your answer to Question 2 is YES, please proceed to Question 3. If your answer to Question 2 is NO, please skip to Question 6.

3.	Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that prior to September 11, 1968, American Tobacco breached its duty to warn William A. Champagne, Jr. about the health hazards of smoking its cigarettes?
	Yes No If your answer to Question 3 is YES, please proceed to Question 4. If your answer to Question 3 is NO, please skip to Question 6.
4.	Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that American Tobacco's breach of its duty to warn, prior to September 11, 1968, was a substantial factor in causing William A. Champagne, Jr.'s lung cancer?
	Yes No If your answer to Question 4 is YES, please proceed to Question 5. If your answer to Question 4 is NO, please skip to Question 6.
5.	Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that William A. Champagne, Jr. suffered loss or damage as a result of American Tobacco's failure to warn prior to September 11, 1968?
	Yes No
	Please proceed to Question 6.
Frauc	dulent Concealment Claim
6.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that prior to September 11, 1968, American Tobacco had a duty to disclose material information to William A. Champagne, Jr.?
	Yes No If your answer to Question 6 is YES, please proceed to Question 7. If your answer to Question 6 is NO, please skip to Question 12.
7.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that prior to September 11, 1968, American Tobacco failed to disclose material information to William A. Champagne, Jr.?
	Yes No If your answer to Question 7 is YES, please proceed to Question 8. If your answer to Question 7 is NO, please skip to Question 12.

8.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that prior to September 11, 1968, American Tobacco intended to defraud consumers when it failed to disclose material information?			
	Yes No If your answer to Question 8 is YES, please proceed to Question 9. If your answer to Question 8 is NO, please skip to Question 12.			
9.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that William A. Champagne, Jr. actually relied on American Tobacco's failure to disclose material information prior to September 11, 1968?			
	Yes No If your answer to Question 9 is YES, please proceed to Question 10. If your answer to Question 9 is NO, please skip to Question 12.			
10.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that William A. Champagne, Jr. reasonably relied on American Tobacco's failure to disclose material information prior to September 11, 1968?			
	Yes No If your answer to Question 10 is YES, please proceed to Question 11. If your answer to Question 10 if NO, please skip to Question 12.			
11.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that William A. Champagne, Jr.'s reliance on American Tobacco's concealment prior to September 11, 1968 caused his injury?			
	Yes No			
	Please proceed to Question 12.			
Fraud	ulent Misrepresentation Claim			
12.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Philip Morris made a misrepresentation of material fact regarding Marlboro Lights cigarettes?			
	Yes No If your answer to Question 12 is YES, please proceed to Question 13. If your answer to Ouestion 12 is NO. please skip to Ouestion 18.			

13.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Philip Morris knew that its statement regarding Marlboro Lights cigarettes was false at the time that statement was made?			
	Yes No If your answer to question 13 is YES, please proceed to Question 14. If your answer to Question 13 is NO, please skip to Question 18.			
14.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that in making the representation regarding Marlboro Lights cigarettes, Philip Morris intended to defraud William A. Champagne, Jr.?			
	Yes No If your answer to Question 14 is YES, please proceed to Question 15. If your answer to Question 14 is NO, please skip to Question 18.			
15.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that William A. Champagne, Jr. actually relied upon Philip Morris's representation regarding Marlboro Lights cigarettes?			
	Yes No If your answer to Question 15 is YES, please proceed to Question 16. If your answer to Question 15 is NO, please skip to Question 18.			
16.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that William A. Champagne, Jr. reasonably relied upon Philip Morris's representation regarding Marlboro Lights cigarettes?			
	Yes No If your answer to Question 16 is YES, please proceed to Question 17. If your answer to Question 16 is NO, please skip to Question 18.			
17.	Has Plaintiff proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that William A. Champagne, Jr.'s reliance on Philip Morris's representation regarding Marlboro Lights cigarettes caused his injury?			
	Yes No			
	Please proceed to Question 18.			

<u>Dama</u>	ages_				
18.	Did you answer YES on this verdict form to each of Questions 2-5, and/or YES to each of Questions 6-11, and/or YES to each of Questions 12-17?				
	answer to Q	No ver to Question 18 is YES, please pro Question 18 is NO, proceed no further a, and return it to the Court.			
19.		Please state the total amount of damages sustained by William A. Champagne, Jr. If you decide not to make an award, write the word "none."			
	\$				
	Please proceed to Question 20.				
20.	O. Please state the amount of damages sustained by Eileen Clinton, and ea William Champagne's children, resulting from William A. Champagne death. If you decide not to make an award, write the word "none."		William A. Champagne, Jr.'s		
	a)	Eileen Clinton	\$		
	b)	Jennifer Champagne	\$		
	c)	William A. Champagne, III	\$		
	If you answe	ered YES to each of Questions 12-17	, please proceed to Question 21.		
21.	State the total amount of damages, if any, sustained by Eileen Clinton for the loss her spouse's services and society prior to his death. If you decide not to make an award, write the word "None."				

Allocation of Fault

\$

If you answered YES to Question 17 and you also answered yes to Question 5 and/or Question 11, please proceed to Question 22. Otherwise, proceed no further except to sign and date this verdict form, and return it to the Court.

Please proceed to the next section ("Allocation of Fault").

22.	What is the percentage of fault of each defendant in causing William A. Champagne, Jr.,'s lung cancer? The total must equal 100%.				
	American Tobacco				
	Philip Morris				
	Signature of Foreperson	Date			

Once you have completed this form and answered all applicable questions unanimously, the foreperson must send the Court a note so indicating.